1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
4.	Bihar	.	.	.	29	29	29
5.	Jharkhand	-	-	-	29	29	29
6.	Goa	-	-	-	29	29	29
7.	Gujarat	-	-	-	29	29	29
8.	Haryana	-	16	-	29	13	29
9.	Himachal Pradesh	02	23	07	27	06	22
10.	Karnataka	29	29	29	-	-	-
11.	Kerala	15	29	15	14	-	14
12.	Madhya Pradesh	10	23	09	19	06	20
13.	Chhattisgarh	10	23	09	19	06	20
14.	Maharashtra	18	18	18	11	11	11
15.	Manipur		22	04	29	07	25
16.	Orissa	05	25	03	24	04	26
17.	Punjab		07	-	29	22	29
18.	Rajasthan		29	-	29	-	29
19.	Sikkim	29	29	29	-	-	-
20.	Tamil Nadu	-	29	-	29	-	29
21.	Tripura	-	12	-	29	17	29
22.	Uttar Pradesh	12	13	09	17	16	20
23.	Uttranchal	12	13	09	17	16	.20
24.	West Bengal	12	29	12	17	-	17
25.	A&N Islands		-	-	29	29	29
26.	Chandigarh	-	-	-	29	29	29
27.	D&N Haveli	-	03	03	29	26	26
28.	Daman & Diu		29	-	29	-	29
29.	NCT of Delhi		Panchayati Raj System is yet to be revived				
30.	Pondicherry	-	-	-	29	29	29
31.	Lakshadweep	-	06	-	29	23	29

The provisions of the Constitution (73rd Amendment) Act, 1992 are not applicable to the States of J&K, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Nagaland.

Marginalised condition of Panchayati Raj Institutions in certain States

3292. SHRIMATI VANGA GEETHA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state;

(a) whether constitutionally mandated Panchayati Raj Institutions are being marginalised in certain States;

(b) if so, whether certain States have set up parallel Village Development Committees;

(c) whether reservation for weaker sections and women in Panchayati Raj Institutions is being overlooked; and

(d) if so, what steps Government have taken to ensure proper implementation of Panchayati Raj enactment?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU): (a) and (b) No, Sir. However, the Government of Madhya Pradesh have set up Gram Vikas Samitis whereas the Government of Haryana have set up Village Development Committees which seem to be parallel bodies to the Gram Panchayats. The Central Government is in correspondence with the States of Madhya Pradesh and Haryana to sort out his issue.

(c) The provisions of reservation of weaker sections and women in Panchayati Raj Institutions have not been overlooked. However, in pursuance of Orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, pending finalisation of Special Leave Petitions (SLPs), Panchayat Elections were held in Bihar without providing reservation for SCs/STs/Women to the offices of Chairpersons at all levels of Panchayats.

(d) The Hon'ble Patna High Court struck down the provision of the Bihar Panchayati Raj Act relating to the reservation provided for SCs, STs and women to the offices of Chairpersons at all the three tiers of Panchayats. The State Government filed SLPs against the Orders of Hon'ble Patna High Court. Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that the matter should be decided by a Constitution Bench. The Central Government has filed applications from time to time before the Hon'ble Apex Court for early hearing of the pending SLPs and setting up of a Constitution Bench. The matter is pending.

Beneficiaries under Annapuma Yojana in Andhra Pradesh

3293. SHRI RAMA MUNI REDDY SIRIGIREDDY: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of beneficiaries in the State of Andhra Pradesh under Annapuma Yojana, district-wise;

(b) the number of senior citizens who are getting National Old Age Pension in the State of Andhra Pradesh, district-wise;

(c) whether it is true that the ministry is thinking of extending the facility to even those senior citizens who are getting National Old Age Pension; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?